G R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—22-7-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1903-04
(June)

File No. 65 of 99

Serial Nos. 3 to 33

13
~~Papers Filed.~~

Month ~~July~~ 1904

SUBJECT.

Unauthorised expenditure incurred by the Yedatore Municipality during 1901-02

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

Finance

65 D. 99.

R 30

2 DF 16-274/2/(9)
1903-04

From

B.R. Venkata Varada Ayyar
Deputy Commissioner of
Mysore District

To

H.V. Nanjundayya Esqre M.A
M.L.,
Secretary to the Govt of
Mysore in the Rev and Agn
Depts

Dated 20th
Despatched 23rd } December 1903.
Received

General No. 3,78
No.

Enclosure No one

Forwarding copy of Comp-
troller's docket No. 274 (1-2) for
obtaining the sanction of
Government for the amounts
disallowed.

L B.B
24.12.03

MGCPB—50 reams.—6-12 02.

338 Deputy Commissioner's office
Mysore Division

Dated Camp Seringapatam 20th December 1903.

C. V. Rangacharya Esqre M.A. M.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departt.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith Copy of docket No. 274/17 dated the 28th August 1903 received from the Comptroller and to request you to kindly obtain the sanction of Government for the amounts disallowed therein.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant.

[illegible]
Dept & Commissioner
[illegible]

1935

From.

E R Subraiyer Esquire
Comptroller, to the
Government of Mysore

To

M. Kantaraj Urs Esquire
Offg: President of the
Municipalities
Mysore Dist.

No 274/L.7 Dated 28th August 190

— : o : —

This office Audit Objection Statements Nos 14/L.7. and 16/L.7. dated 7th May 1902 for October and November 1901 respectively.

— " —

With reference to his remarks in the above Stating that the Sums of Rs 0-8-0 and 4 annas charged on account of Octroi Contingencies in the year

net out of the provision Rs 49/-
made for Deputy Commission, has
the honor to observe that the ex-
-penditure was objected to by this
office being unauthorized and
not for want of budget provision
The provision made therefor in
the budget in addition to Com-
-mission was disallowed by Govern-
ment Vide para 7 of Government
order No 3212-17
L.F. 16-01 dated 22nd August
1901. He is requested to recover the
amount.

(Sd) M. N. Krishna Rao
Assistant Comptroller
Finance

Copy"

President of the
Municipalities in the
Mysore District

Mun
6.5.97.
SC 31

L.F. 16-01 (1)
97

The President of the Municipalities in the Mysore districts

His letter No 330 dated the 20th December 1903 requesting sanction to the charge of Rs 0-12-0 incurred by the Gadaterè municipality on account of contingencies ~~for~~ during the months of October & Novr 1901.

2679
L.F. 16-01 — 21/1/04

With reference to the above has the honour to request that the President will be good enough to state ~~the circumstances under which~~ why the charge was incurred in spite of the clear instructions conveyed in para 7 of the G.P. No 3212-17 L.F. 16-01 dated the 22nd August 1901 that no separate contingent allowance in addition to Commission for dome Collection work can be ~~allowed~~ granted

Presented [illegible]

By order

19/1/01

16/1/01

L.F. 16-01

90 BAPR 1904

From The President of the Municipalities, Mysore Dist.

To L. Anantasami Rao Esqr. B.A. Offg: Secretary to the Govt: of Mysore General and Rev: Depots.

Dated 25th April 04.

Despatched 26

Received

General No. 599

No.

Enclosure No

In the same file as 599

Requesting sanction to the charge of Rs 0-12-0 incurred by the Tyadaka Municipality on account of contingencies during the months of October and November 1901

Esqr B.A.

MGCPB—50 Teams.—6-12 02.

Office of the President
Municipalities, Mysore District
Dated 25th April 1904.

From,

M. Kantaraja Urs Esquire B. A.
President of Municipalities,
Mysore District.

To,

L. Anantasami Rau Esquire B. A.
Officiating Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Department.

Sir,

Adverting to your docket No: 2679 L.F. 16-01 dated the 21st January 1904 enquiring why a Contingent charge of annas 0—12—0, for the months of October and November 1901, under III Collection, was incurred in the Yedahalli Municipality in spite of the clear instructions conveyed in para 7 of the Government Proceedings No: 3212-17 L.F. 16-01

I

101

I have the honor to state that the charge in question was paid by the then Vice President from the Municipal imprest amount and the same was noticed and objected to by this office at the time. I request therefore that Sanction of — Government may now be kindly obtained in the matter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant

President of Municipalities
Taytown District.

L.F. 16-01 (4)

Mysore Govt. Gen. & Rev. Secretariat.

Local Boards Branch.

Office Draft. 102

Progs. of the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

File No. (if any) and its date—

Read:— Letr no 330 dated the 20th December 1903 from the President of the Municipalities in the Mysore District requesting the sanction to the charge of Rs 0-12-0 incurred by the Yadatore Municipality on account of Octroi Contingencies during the months of October and November 1903.

2, Letr no 599 dated the 25th April 1902 from the same officer stating with reference to this office Docket no 2679 dated the 21st January C.F. 16-01 that the charge in 1902 that the question was paid by the then Vice-President from the municipal imprest amount and that the same was objected to by his

Submitted to the Dewan through the 1st C.
the 17/6/04

19/6/04

Submitted to the Dewan Sahéb for approval
&
21.6.1904

Office at the time, and requesting, ~~in such circumstances~~ ~~therefore~~ sanction to the said charge.

Order thereon No 64–5 / 16–01 dt 6/7/04

Under the circumstances represented, the ~~item of charge~~ expenditure under reference is sanctioned. But the Vice-Presidents of the ~~several~~ Municipalities in the District should be warned against incurring expenditure without proper sanction.

30.VI.04

Offg Secty

3 Jan 04

30/4/07.

To, The Presdt of the Municipality Maytown Dist.

Typed by OW 2/7/04 Compared & returned for typed letter to be typed Sd/- T.C. Cigned by the Secy